

ARTICLE REVIEW WORKSHEET

DIRECTIONS. Read the assigned ARTICLE/STORY/EVENT and complete the WORKSHEET (WS). WS must be in dark ink only (although you may use word-processing). Sentences MUST be in narrative format AND each of your points made MUST include a fact, specific or detail.

PERSONAL HEADING

Student Name:

Otaaont Hamor							
Period (circle):	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Date Submitted	l: _						
Course (circle):	AP	'US	HIS	TOF	RY / .	AP F	PSYCHOLOGY
Article Review/	Title	of	Ass	ignn	nent	::	

DIRECTIONS. Complete [below] in narrative sentences AND support with EVIDENCE [i.e. details, facts, concepts, etc.] AND showing either historical language or psychological language

I. SUMMARY OF ISSUE

Summarize the <u>ISSUE/MILESTONE/TOPIC/PROBLEM</u> discussed in the article (3-4 sentences):

II. SUMMARY OF MAIN RESEARCH

• Summarize the MAIN RESEARCH/ARGUMENTS/DISCUSSION presented in the article (2-3 sentences):

III. PERSONAL RESPONSE TO ARTICLE

Ο	How it directly	/ annly	to our	course	(2-3)	sentences'	17
U	I IOW IL GILGGLI	y appi	, io oui	Course	(2-5	3511611653	, :

o RATE THE ARTICLE (CIRCLE the appropriate rating)

UNSATISFACTORY 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 OUTSTANDING

o Criticisms/Missed Opportunities (MUST have two AND each criticism 1-2 sentences with facts, details or specifics)?

	eria will be used to grade your artic must be demonstrated in order to a		e requirement described under each p	point outlines the minimum
T-4-1	1			
Tota TOTAL: 45 PTS				
	COLUMN PTS	COLUMN PTS	COLUMN PTS	COLUMN PTS
Objective/Criteria	Excellent (15 points)	Very Good (10 points)	Satisfactory (5 points)	Minimally Responsive (1 point)
	Provides a very thorough and clear and concise summary of the article context, content & research.	Provides a clear and concise summary of the article context, content & research.	Provides a clear but shallow summary of the article; may be excessively brief or may include some extraneous information, or partially discusses research.	Provides a somewhat muddled, unclear and rambling summary of the article. May omit discussion of research.
2) Identifies and describes your personal reaction to the article	Thoroughly describes your personal reaction to the article; includes discussion of the relevant issues within the article.	Describes your personal reaction to the article, includes a simplistic discussion of the relevant issues within the article.	of the relevant issues within the	Attempts to describe your personal reaction to the article, but lacks a logical flow and reaction is muddled; no discussion of any relevant issues in the article.
3) Grammar, mechanics, punctuation and spelling of the content AND following the directed format	Contains all correct information regarding article AND consistently contains accurate and proper grammatical conventions, spelling, and punctuation. Clearly and consistently uses requested formatting with zero errors	minor formatting errors	regarding article title, author name, and article source and date. Has frequent errors in grammatical conventions, spelling, and	Contains incorrect info regarding article. Contains numerous errors in grammatical conventions, spelling, and punctuation; substantially interferes with paper's meaning and/or directed format.